

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101 AND
3.104

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION INCOMPLETE-RATED	CONSTRUCTION
---	---------------------

1.Contract Number: W912WJ10C0013

2.DUNS Number: 001125657

PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA

3.Type of Evaluation: Final **Percent Complete:** 100%

4.Terminated For: None

5.Contract:

CHAS G ALLEN, INC.
25 WILLIAMSVILLE ROAD
BARRE, MA 01005-950
U.S.A.
NAICS Code: 333913

6a.Procurement Method: Sealed Bid

6b.Type of Contract: Firm Fixed Price

7.Description and Location of Work:

Pump No. 5 Overhaul, Fox Point Hurricane Barrier The work of this project consists of the disassembly, overhaul, and reinstallation of Pump Nos. 1 & 5, and the disassembly, inspection, repair, and reassembly of two electric geared sluice gate operators and four intermediate gearheads at the Fox Point Hurricane Barrier in Providence, Rhode Island. Pump No. 5 is a Worthington 119" HI-FLO single stage impeller pump. The work also includes the inspection and load testing of the project overhead crane. The Contractor shall provide all staging, ladders, pumps, air, rigging, barriers, temporary lighting and ventilation and any other required equipment and materials necessary to complete the work of this project. Providence, RI

8.Type and Percent of Subcontracting:

3% Painting/Wall Covering 1% Mechanical

9.Fiscal Data

- a.Amount of Basic Contract: \$1,182,275
- b.Total Amount of Modifications: \$1,873,424
- c.Liquidated Damages Assessed:
- d.Net Amount Paid Contractor: \$3,055,699

10.Significant Dates

- a.Date of Award: 04/27/2010
- b.Original Contract Completion Date: 07/18/2011
- c.Revised Contract Completion Date: 07/22/2012
- d.Date Work Accepted: 06/30/2012

PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR

11.Overall Rating: Outstanding

12.Evaluated By:

- a.Organization: US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
- b.Telephone Number: 978-318-8880
- c.Name: SARAH SINCLAIR/TIM REZENDES
- Title: PROJECT ENGINEER
- Email: sarah.a.sinclair@usace.army.mil
- Fax Number: (508)990-2721
- e.Date: 09/10/2012

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101 AND
3.104

13. Reviewed By:

- a. Organization:
 b. Telephone Number:
 c. Name:
 Title:
 Email:
 Fax Number:
 e. Date:

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS			
15. Quality Control		16. Effectiveness of Management	
a. Quality of Workmanship:	Outstanding	a. Cooperation and Responsiveness:	Outstanding
b. Adequacy of the CQC Plan:	Satisfactory	b. Management of Resources/Personnel:	Above Average
c. Implementation of the CQC Plan:	Satisfactory	c. Coordination and Control of Subcontractor(s):	Above Average
d. Quality of QC Documentation:	Above Average	d. Adequacy of Site Clean-Up:	Satisfactory
e. Storage of Materials:	Satisfactory	e. Effectiveness of Job-Site Supervision:	Above Average
f. Adequacy of Materials:	Satisfactory	f. Compliance with Laws and Regulations:	Above Average
g. Adequacy of Submittals:	Outstanding	g. Professional Conduct:	Outstanding
h. Adequacy of QC Testing:	Satisfactory	h. Review/Resolution of Subcontractor's Issues:	Satisfactory
i. Adequacy of As-Builts:	Not Applicable	i. Implementation of Subcontracting Plan:	Not Applicable
j. Use of Specified Materials:	Satisfactory	18. Compliance with Labor Standards	
k. Identification/Correction of Deficient Work in a Timely Manner:	Satisfactory	a. Correction of Noted Deficiencies:	Above Average
17. Timely Performance		b. Payrolls Properly Completed and Submitted:	Above Average
a. Adequacy of Initial Progress Schedule:	Satisfactory	c. Compliance with Labor Laws and Regulations with Specific Attention to the Davis-Bacon Act and EEO Requirements:	Satisfactory
b. Adherence to Approved Schedule:	Satisfactory	19. Compliance with Safety Standards	
c. Resolution of Delays:	Above Average	a. Adequacy of Safety Plan:	Satisfactory
d. Submission of Required			

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101 AND
3.104

Documentation:	Above Average	b.Implementation of Safety Plan:	Outstanding
e.Completion of Punchlist Items:	Above Average	c.Correction of Noted Deficiencies:	Satisfactory
f.Submission of Updated and Revised Progress Schedules:	Satisfactory		
g.Warranty Response:	Above Average		

20.Remarks

Small Business Utilization

Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No

Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A

Evaluator Remarks: 15 a. The Contractor diligently worked to provide a quality product that either meet or exceeded the requirements. The Contractor entire staff is extremely knowledgeable of the mechanical systems and their operations, and provided several viable options when discrepancies were discovered within the pumps. Chas G. Allen is a contractor who takes pride in their work and demonstrates this through their attention to detail. 15 d, g. Provided a thorough book for each pump detailing the issues and the resulting fixes for each item through drawings, details, and photos. The submitted book provided all certifications, tests, operation manuals, and manufacture recommendations in one reference book that will be capable of being used for years in the future for the specific pumps. 16 a, b, c. Any issue or discrepancy that was noted, the Contractor eagerly worked with the Corps of Engineers to resolve the issue within the shortest period of time possible. Chas G. Allen was always cooperative and responsive to the needs and requirements of the Hurricane Barrier through flexible scheduling to accommodate the required operations of the Hurricane Barrier. 16 f. No noncompliance with regulations and laws were reported or discovered. Contractor provided timely upward reporting of all ARRA reporting as well. 16 g. The conduct of the Project Manager, site tradesmen, and all other Chas G. Allen management staff was professional and business-like. They showed a willingness to work with the Government employees to get the necessary work completed. 16 h. Neither the Contractor or their subcontractors reported any issues to the Government. 17 b. The contractor adhered to schedule for project delivery. 17 c. Contractor experienced a delay in the performance test for Pump No. 5 when the adjacent power generation company requested that the testing be delayed until their filtration unit for their main cooling pumps could be repaired. The Contractor willing postponed the test until the conditions were favorable to the power generation station. 17 d. There were 20 job changes for this project to address either differing conditions or unknown additional work that needed to be repaired during the course of the project to include the complete overhaul of Pump No. 1 as well. The submitted pricing for the added work was always timely, reasonable and based upon direct costs incurred or estimated. Pricing was realistic and fair with no hidden or extraneous expenses included. Justification of any cost item was provided if challenged by the Government. 17 e. The Contractor worked diligently to quickly finish any and all punch list items and any warranty items that have come to light. They responded with corrective action within 48 hours to any required warranty item. 17 f. Updated and revised progress schedules were submitted when required. 18 a. There were only minor deficiencies at the start of the project that were noted that were promptly corrected by the Contractor. No major deficiencies were discovered in the Contractor's payrolls. 18 b. Payrolls were submitted timely throughout the project. 18 c. Contractor complied with the Davis-Bacon Labor Laws and Regulations. There were no reported noncompliance issues with the Labor laws and Regulations regarding the Davis-Bacon Act. The goals in the contract for Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) expressed in percentage terms

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101 AND
3.104

for the Contractor's aggregate workforce in each trade on all construction work in the covered area were 1.6% for minority and 6.9% for women. The EEO goals were not achieved. 19 a. The Contractor was committed to accident prevention and safety and strived to provide the safest possible working conditions for its employees, subcontractors and the Government employees. The designated Site Safety and Health Officer for the project held daily meetings to review the day's work and associated hazards with the employees and was instrumental in maintaining a safe environment in wet working conditions. Contractor's attention to fall protection and employees doing the appropriate personal protective equipment was paramount. 19 b. The Contractor did not experience any reportable or recordable accidents during the on-site work. No lost time accidents occurred. No major safety deficiencies were noted or observed during the on-site work as the contractor complied with the accepted Accident Prevention Plan and Corps of Engineers Safety and Health Requirements.

Contractor Remarks: Government Project Engineers were instrumental in the success of the contract. Mr. Timothy J. Rezendes started the first phase for Pump No. 5 and provided essential assistance in setting up the contract. His insight into the steps required for the project provide solid fundamentals of the site safety plan, environmental plan and contract requirements. Ms. Sarah Sinclair was later assigned the project for Pump No. 1 and the Canal and River Gate work and continued with the same insight on the project. Ms Sinclair worked diligently to resolve any issues in a professional manner, which kept the project on target for the required completion date.

CONCURRENCE: I concur with this evaluation.

Contractor Name: GARY BOUDREAU

Title: VP

Telephone Number: 978-355-2911

Fax Number: 978-3552917

Email: garyboudreau@chasgallen.com

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY